UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALEXANDER MARSHALL,

No. C 12-2849 YGR (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO AMEND

v.

ALAMEDA COUNTY JAIL,

Defendant.

INTRODUCTION

This is a federal civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a *pro se* state prisoner. The Court now reviews the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a).

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See id*.

No. C 12-2849 YGR (PR) ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT
§ 1915A(b)(1),(2). *Pro se* pleadings must be liberally construed. *See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

A "complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Id.* (quoting *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 556). Furthermore, a court "is not required to accept legal conclusions cast in the form of factual allegations if those conclusions cannot reasonably be drawn from the facts alleged." *Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network*, 18 F.3d 752, 754–55 (9th Cir. 1994). To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *See West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. Legal Claims

In his complaint, plaintiff puts forth various claims of mistreatment at the Santa Rita Jail since 2000. His complaint is not coherent and does not contain sufficient factual matter to state a claim. He must give specific details such as the dates of the alleged violations, the names of persons who committed the alleged violations (naming Alameda County as a defendant is not sufficient), and the acts such persons took on those dates. Accordingly, the complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend.

Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint addressing the deficiencies detailed above within 30 days from the date this order is filed. The first amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order (12-2849 YGR (PR)) and the words FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. Because an amended complaint completely replaces the previous complaints, plaintiff must include in his first amended complaint *all* the claims he wishes to present and *all* of the defendants he wishes to sue. *See*

Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). Any claims not raised in the amended complaint will be deemed waived. Plaintiff may not incorporate material from the prior complaint by reference. Failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in dismissal of this action without further notice to plaintiff.

It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice"

informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion or ask for an extension of time to do so. Failure to comply may result in the dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>December 5, 2012</u>

YONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE